| | CASE Laws - ONE Liner- | | <u>By</u> | CA Bhanwar Borana (CA BB) |
|------|--|--------------|-----------|--|
| S.NO | NAME | YEAR | COURT | Remember |
| | PART :A | | | |
| 1. | M. VENKATESWARA RAO | 2015 | T & AP | Capital cont. by part. To firm- partner not able to explain source in his hand- Sec 68 can't be invoke in hands of firm, can be in hands of partner |
| 2. | CHENNAI PROPERTIES & INVESTMENT LTD/ RAYALA CORP. PVT LTD | 2015 2016 | SC SC | Main business is to acquire and letout property- MOA- PGBP-YES , HP-NO |
| 3. | NEW DELHI HOTELS LTD | 2014 | DELHI | Unsold flats of builder-letout- hp income (Amendment- Annual value shall be NIL for 1 year from the end of FY in which completion certificate recd) |
| 4. | К & СО. | 2014 | DEL | Bank guarantee - margin money deposited - lottery business- interest- PGBP |
| 5. | I.C.D.S. LTD | 2013 | SC | Depreciation on leased vehicles - used & registered in name of lessee – lessor will claim dep. |
| 6. | PRIYA VILLAGE ROADSHOWS (PVR) LTD. | 2011 | DEL | Expenditure on feasibility study - should be related to current business (revenue nature) |
| 7. | CONFEDERATION OF INDIAN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY (SSI) | 2013 | H.P. | Freebies provided by pharmaceutical co. To medical practitioners- illegal exps- not allowed |
| 8. | KAP SCAN & DIAGNOSTIC CENTRE PVT. LTD. | 2012 | P & H | Commission paid to doctors by a diagnostic centre for referring patients – illegal not allowed |
| 9. | SHASUN CHEMICALS & DRUGS LTD. | 2016 | SC | Dispute b/w co. & employees union - bonus - paid to trust(for Sec 43B) - trust paid employees- allowed |
| 10. | SYED ALI ADIL | 2013 | AP | Purchased 2 flats - common meeting point(modified) to make it a single unit-exemption available |
| 11. | RAJIV SHUKLA / DEMPO CO. LTD. | 11/16 | DEL/SC | Cap. Gain on t/f of depreciable asset - held for >36 months - exemption u/s 54F/EC |

| 12. | SHREE GOVINDBHAI JETHALAL NATHAVANI CHARITABLE TRUST | 2015 | GUJ | Cit rejected grant of approval u/s 80G(5) -trust failed applying 85% of income for charitable purpose – cit can't reject application |
|-----|--|------|-----|---|
| 13. | SWARNAGIRI WIRE INSULATIONS PVT LTD | 2012 | KAR | Can unabsorbed depreciation of an eligible business (industrial undertaking) for deduction u/s 80-ia be set of against non-eligible business – yes it can |
| 14. | TRANS ASIAN SHIPPING SERVICES (P) LTD. | 2016 | SC | Slot charter - shipping co tonnage tax scheme - deemed tonnage - sec 115VG4) |
| 15. | QUEEN'S EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY | 2015 | SC | Educational institution - incidentally makes profit – exemption u/s 10(23C)(iiiad) allowed |
| 16. | SOCIETY FOR THE PROMOTION OF EDUCATION (N17) | 2016 | SC | Non-consideration of registration of a charitable trust within 6 months as required u/s 12AA – treated as deemed to be registered |
| 17. | HEMANT KUMAR SINDHI & ANOTHER | 2014 | ALL | Gold bar seized - assessee asks to sell them before completion of asst. U/s 153A – it can be sell after finalising liability |
| 18. | KATHIROOR SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD. (M16) | 2014 | SC | Power to call information u/s 133(6) - whether any proceeding is pending or not- yes it can |
| 19. | VIPIN WALIA | 2016 | DEL | Time-barred notice - death of assessee - delay in giving notice to legal heir |
| 20. | ITC LTD | 2016 | SC | Tips received from customers through credit card – distributed to EE - tips are not part of salary – TDS u/s 192 not applicable |
| 21. | UCO BANK | 2014 | DEL | Interest on FD in name of registrar general of HC – no TDS u/s 194A |
| 22. | INTERVET INDIA PVT. LTD. | 2014 | BOM | Distributor/stockist - provided incentives for increase in volume of sales(sales promotion) - TDS not attracted |
| 23. | JAPAN AIRLINES CO. / SINGAPORE AIRLINES LTD. | 2015 | SC | Landing/parking charges paid by airline co is a contract (not rent) - hence deduct TDS u/s 194C and not u/s 194-I |
| 24. | KOTAK SECURITIES LTD | 2016 | SC | Stock exchange provide to brokers a software & charge for same - is it technical fee & liable to |

| | | | | TDS u/s 194J – no its not technical fess – no TDS u/s 194J |
|-----|---|------|------|---|
| 25. | V.S. DEMPO & CO. PVT LTD | 2016 | BOM | Foreign shipping company governed by sec 172 for levy & recovery of tax - is TDS u/s 195 required to be deducted on demurrage charged paid to be shipping company – no TDS u/s 195 |
| 26. | HONDA SIEL CARS INDIA LTD. | 2017 | SC | Asessee paid technical fee for know-how/technical info. Received - limited period - on termination of tca, joint venture come to an end – its capital exps. |
| 27. | RAJ DADARKAR & ASSOCIATES | 2017 | SC | Lease hold land - made shop/stall - sub-letting - unable to produce sufficient proof. Of business - taxable under ifhp |
| 28. | BERGER PAINTS INDIA LTD. | 2017 | SC | Premium on subscribed share capital is included in capital employed or not - deduction u/s 35D - no its not included |
| 29. | A.P. MOLLER MAERSK (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION) | 2017 | SC | Amount paid by Indian agents to NR(cargo) co centralised communication system - is it technical fee? - no its not. |
| 30. | SANDEEP SINGH | 2017 | SC | Deadline stipulated by ITSC for payment of sum due - not paid within given time - paid before hearing of SC - immunity will remain |
| 31. | GOPL & SONS (HUF) | 2017 | SC | Loan to HUF(karta - shareholder in closely held co.) - deemed dividend applies? - yes, dispute on who is shareholder(HUF/KARTA) Yes 2(22)(e) apply |
| 32. | BALAKRISHNAN | 2017 | SC | Receipt of higher compensation - negotiation with collector - character won't change for increase in compensation - remain as compulsory acquisition - exemption allowed u/s 10(37)(iii) under capital gain |
| 33. | TRAVANCORE DIAGNOSTICS (P) LTD. | 2017 | KER. | 148 issued – assessee filed return -notice u/s 143(2) omitted to issue for assessment u/s 143(3) read with section 147 - jurisdictional defect (not procedural defect)-292BB not apply – assessment invalid |

| 34. | MOVALIYA BHIKHUBHAI BALABHAI | 2016 | GUJ | Interest on enhanced compensation u/s 28 of land acquisition act - Cap. Gain/ IFOS? - cap. Gain - on enhanced value of land |
|-----|------------------------------------|------|------|---|
| 35. | RAJIVBHAI NAGJIBHAI THESIA | 2016 | GUJ | Whether AO bound to consider report of valuation officer? - yes - AO referred to V.O. – u/s 50C asst. Can't be completed without conformity of V.O. |
| 36. | MEGA TRENDS INC. | 2016 | MAD. | Partnership firm (13 individuals & 2 firms) - partnership is relationship b/w persons - CIT(a) have power to change status of assessee ? – yes |
| 37. | SUNIL VISHWAMBHARNATH TIWARI | 2016 | ВОМ | Housing project - assessee did not deduct TDS - does increase in gti consequent to disallowance u/s 40(a)(ia)eligible for profit linked deduction - yes |
| | PART: B | 1 | 1 | |
| 1. | SAURASHTRA CEMENT | 2010 | SC | Failure to supply machinery (capital asset) – |

| 1. | SAURASHTRA CEMENT | 2010 | SC | Failure to supply machinery (capital asset) – compensation recd – capital nature |
|----|----------------------------------|------|------|---|
| 2. | ALCATEL LUCENT CANADA (M17) | 2015 | DEL. | Software embedded in hardware - royalty?? - four condition- no royalty- its hardware only |
| 3. | KRIBHCO (N17) | 2012 | DEL. | Sec. 14A is for exemption not for deduction u/c VI-A |
| 4. | SHANKAR KRISHNAN | 2012 | BOM | Ao added notional interest on security deposit as perk to employee - it is incorrect |
| 5. | DIRECTOR, DELHI PUBLIC SCHOOL | 2011 | Р&Н | No standard deduction on computing perk of free /consensational educational facility - rule 3(5) >rs 1000 |
| 6. | NDR WAREHOUSING PVT LTD (N16) | 2015 | MAD | Letting out godown & warehousing service – pgbp |
| 7. | HARIPRASAD BHOJNAGARWALA | 2012 | GUJ | 1 sop benefit to HUF also HUF group of individuals (not artificial) |
| 8. | ASAIN HOTELS LTD | 2010 | DEL | Notional interest on interest-free deposit by landlord in respect of shop on rent – not taxable |

| 9. | BSES YAMUNA POWERS LTD | 2013 | DEL | Eligible rate of depreciation on computer accessories & peripherals – 40% |
|-----|---|------|-------|--|
| 10. | AREVA T & D INDIA LTD | 2012 | DEL | Acquired business contracts/information as part of slump sale - described as goodwill - eligible for depreciation |
| 11. | SMIFS SECURITIES LTD | 2012 | SC | Is depreciation allowed on value of goodwill considering it as an asset u/s 32(1)- yes |
| 12. | FEDERAL BANK LTD | 2011 | KER | Epabx & mobile phones entitled dep @ 15% and not 40% |
| 13. | SMT. SIVAKAMI & ANOTHER | 2010 | MAD | Beneficial ownership suffice for claim depreciation |
| 14. | GUJRAT STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION | 2014 | GUJ | Employees contribution to PF & ESI - deposit it before the due date of the fund(not due date of Roi) |
| 15. | ORIENT CERAMICS & INDUSTRIES LTD | 2013 | DELHI | Expenditure on glow-sign boards displayed at retail outlets – revenue expenditure |
| 16. | ITC HOTELS LTD | 2011 | KAR | Expenditure on issue & collection of convertible debentures – revenue exps |
| 17. | HINDUSTAN ZINC LTD | 2010 | RAJ | Expenditure on alteration of Dam(own by govt.) To ensure supply of water for a smelter plant owned by assessee – revenue exps |
| 18. | ECHJAY FORGINGS LTD | 2010 | BOM | Expenditure by co. On higher studies of director's son - contention that son appointed as trainee under a scheme - no proof of such scheme - not allowed |
| 19. | SHANTI BHUSHAN | 2011 | DEL | Heart surgery of lawyer- personal exps- not allowed |
| 20. | NEELAVATHI & OTHERS | 2010 | KAR. | Payment to police & gundas - cinema theatre - keep away other gundas - deduction not allowed |
| 21. | MILLENIA DEVEOPERS PVT LTD | 2010 | KAR | Construction co. Paid regularization fee for violating building bye-laws – not allowed |
| 22. | GREAT CITY MANUFACTURING CO. (M16) | 2013 | ALL. | Partner's remuneration paid as per deed - amount paid is within statutory limits - can it be considered unresonable & excessive for disallowance u/s 40(2)- no |
| 23. | MANJULA J SHAH | 2013 | BOM | Tumlo yaad hoga |
| 24. | GITA DUGGAL | 2013 | DEL | Residential building reconstructed (with extra floors) - sec 54/54F available |
| 25. | GURNAM SINGH | 2010 | P & H | New agriculture land is owned by co-owners (assessee & his son) as per sale deed - exemption u/s 54B available |

| 26 | ZAMAT WATTAT (N116) | 2012 | DELLII | Now residential house correct by access :- |
|-----|---|------|--------|---|
| 26. | KAMAL WAHAL (N16) | 2013 | DELHI | New residential house owned by assessee in the name of his wife - exemption u/s 54F available |
| 27. | RAVINDER KUMAR ARORA | 2012 | DELHI | House property purchased & registered in joint names - one of the co-owner paid whole purchase consideration - exemption u/s 54F be not be restricted to his share & full allowed |
| 28. | SAMBANDAM UDAYKUMAR | 2012 | KAR | Construction did not complete within 3 years from date of transfer - finishing work left - exemption u/s 54F -yes |
| 29. | GOULI MAHADEVAPPA | 2013 | KAR. | Property sold for amount less than SDV - reinvt. In resi. Property (together with other income) - sec 54F till NC |
| 30. | HINDUSTAN UNILEVER | 2010 | ВОМ | Bonds issued after 6 months from date of transfer - payment was made within 6 months – exemption available |
| 31. | PARLE PLASTICS LTD | 2011 | BOM | Tests for determining "substantial part of business" of lending co. For exclusion of sec 2(22) – Q of facts |
| 32. | VIR VIKRAM VAID (N17) | 2014 | BOM | Repair & renovation of building - owner shareholder – no deemed dividend |
| 33. | PRADIP KUMAR MALHOTRA (M16) | 2011 | CAL. | Loan/advance given to shareholder by co. In return of an advantage conferred on co. By shareholder- no deemed dividend |
| 34. | AMBASSADOR TRAVELS PVT LTD | 2009 | DEL | Financial transactions entered in normal course of business – no deemed dividend |
| 35. | MANJOO & CO | 2011 | KER | Winning of prize money on unsold lottery tickets by the distributor – taxable @30% u/s 115BB |
| 36. | PRAMOD MITTAL | 2013 | DEL | Loss suffered by erstwhile partnership firm - firm dissolved into sole-proprietorship - can loss suffered by the firm be c/f for set off by the individual partner-no |
| 37. | ORCHEV PHARMA PVT LTD | 2013 | SC | Industrial undertaking (eligible business) -duty drawback/DEPB- not treated as profit to be eligible for deduction u/s 80-IB |
| 38. | MEGHALAYA STEELS LTD. | 2016 | SC | Transport/interest/power subsidy received from govt deduction u/s 80IB : yes revenue receipts |
| 39. | NESTOR PHARMACEUTICALS LTD /SIDWAL REFRIGERATIONS IND LTD | 2010 | DEL | Exemption u/s 80-IB - commence for which year (trial production or commercial production) – any product sale- deduction from that year |
| 40. | PRAVEEN SONI | 2011 | DEL | Assessee did not claim deduction u/s 80-IB in initial years - all conditions are fulfilled - can he claim deduction for remaining eligible years - yes |

| 41. | GOVINDBHAI MAMAIYA (M16) | 2014 | SC | 3 brothers – inherited land compulsorily acquired by sg - resulting cap. Gain - assessed in which status (AOP/ individual)- individual only |
|-----|--------------------------------------|------|------|--|
| 42. | D.L. NANDAGOPALA REDDY | 2014 | KARN | Assessee received ancestral property after death of his father - father received property as share when he went of joint family - it is HUF property/individual property - HUF property |
| 43. | SUDHIR NAGPAL | 2012 | P&H | Rental income from plinths inherited by individual co-owners from their ancestors - which head-IFOS. Status – individually |
| 44. | MADRAS GYMKHANA CLUB | 2010 | MAD | Interest earned on surplus funds of a club - investment made in form of fixed deposit with institutional member - does it satisfy principle of mutuality – no – no exemption |
| 45. | SIND CO-OP. HOUSING SOCIETY (N16) | 2009 | BOM | Transfer fees received by co-operative housing society from its incoming & outgoing members - is it exempt on grounds of mutuality- its not taxable |
| 46. | INDCOM | 2011 | CAL. | Are NR match referees & umpires "sportsmen" & taxable u/s 115BBA? No – 194E not applicable, 195 apply |
| 47. | ANIL HARDWARE STORE | 2010 | HP | Partnership deed does not specify the amount payable as remuneration to partners - deed lays down manner of fixing remuneration – firm can claim deduction |
| 48. | ROLTA INDIA LTD | 2011 | SC | Interest u/s 234B & 234C shall be levied/payable on failure of company to pay advance tax, in respect of tax payable u/s 115JB. |
| 49. | N.J. JOSE & CO. PVT LTD | 2010 | KER | LTCG exempted u/s 54EC cannot be excluded to computation of book profits u/s 115JB. |
| 50. | MEENAKSHI AMMA ENDOWMENT TRUST | 2013 | KAR | No charitable activity commenced after registration been granted u/s 12A within short spam of time, application cannot be denied. |
| 51. | KHETRI TRUST | 2014 | DEL | Property bequeathed (donated) to trust - no transfer due to court litigation - trusties made advance - violation of sec. 11(5)- no violation of section 11(5) |
| 52. | RAMOJI FOUNDATION | 2014 | AP | Is approval of court mandatory for amendment in trust deed - deed gives power to trustee- no approval required |
| 53. | ST. PETER'S EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY | 2016 | SC | Imparting higher & specialized education (communication/advertisement) – awarding diplomas/ certificates - moa said fees collected shall not exceed cost of training/hostel exp, etc exemption allowed u/s 10(23C) |

| 54. | SAHARA HOSPITALITY LTD | 2013 | BOM | Granting reasonable opportunity of being heard u/s 127(1) is mandatory in nature - in this section, "may" should be read as "shall". |
|-----|---|--------------|------------|---|
| 55. | LODHI PROPERTY CO. LTD | 2010 | DEL | CBDT has the power u/s 119(2)(b) to condone the delay in filling of loss return due to genuine reasons. |
| 56. | GOVIND NAGAR SUGAR LTD | 2011 | DEL | Unabsorbed depreciation can be c/f in case the ROI filed after due date - sec 80 & 139(3) |
| 57. | ORRISA RURAL HOUSING DEVT CORP LTD | 2012 | ORR. | Filing of statement of income to revise his income is of no value - assessee can make a fresh claim before AO or file revised ROI u/s 139(5) |
| 58. | SMT. A. KOWSALYA BAI | 2012 | KAR | Person having income is not required to furnish pan to deductor - specific provision 139A (Sec 206AA not applicable to such person) |
| 59. | AVENTIS PHARMA LTD | 2010 | BOM | Ao cannot re-open assessement merely on change of opinion. |
| 60. | ICICI SECURITIES PRIMARY DEALERSHIP LTD | 2012 | SC | Ao cannot re-open assessement merely on change of opinion |
| 61. | HEMANT TRADERS | 2015 | BOM | Difference found in cash & stock on survey u/s 133A - satisfactorily answered for such difference – not a reason to believe for 148 |
| 62. | GODREJ INDUSTRIES | 2015 | BOM | Ao issued notice u/s 148 for reason that PBDD is provision for unascertained liability - subsequent amendment in law with retrospective effect - is reassessment notice on a different ground before the amendment valid?? : no its not valid |
| 63. | RANBAXY LABORATORIES LTD. | 2011 | DELHI | Ao reassess proceedings u/s 147 where "original reason to believe" ceases to exist - ao's reason is invalid - fresh notice u/s 148 needed |
| 64. | MEHAK FINVEST PVT. LTD., GOVIND RAJU | 2014 2015 | P&H KAR | (Contradictory to ranbaxy) - no fresh notice u/s 148 required even if the reason of AO is invalid. |
| 65. | PP ENGINEERING WORK | 2014 | DEL | Sec 150 override time limitation specified u/s 149 - AO can issue notice re-open u/s 148 for re-opening of assessement even after 6 years' time limit |
| 66. | ALLANASONS LTD | 2014 | BOM | Initiation of reassessment beyond 4 years is not adequate unless there is failure on part of assessee to disclose complete facts |
| 67. | AMARNATH AGARWAL | 2015 | ALL | 2 conditions must be satisfied for issue of notice u/s 148 after a period of 4 years (income escapement & non-disclosure of necessary material facts for assessment) – subsequent decision of HC by it self is not adequate for |

| | | | | reopening of assessment completed u/s 143(3) unless there is failure on part of assessee to disclose facts. |
|-----|---|--------------|------------|---|
| 68. | H. K. BUILDCON LTD | 2011 | GUJ | In case of change of incumbent of an office, successor AO cannot initiate reassessment proceedings for change in opinion from previous AO who frame original assessment |
| 69. | TONY ELECTRONICS LTD | 2010 | DEL | Doctrine of merger - whether time limit of 4 years u/s 154(7) would apply from date of original assessment order or appellate authority?? - after merger, original authority's order ceases to exists and order of appellate authority prevails. So time from order of appellate authority. |
| 70. | KRISHNA CAPBOX (P) LTD. (M17) | 2015 | ALL | Non-mention/non-discussion of enquiry made by AO - sec 263(revision) – CIT cannot invoke section 263 |
| 71. | FORTALEZA DEVELOPERS | 2015 | BOM | Can CIT invoke revisionary jurisdiction u/s 263 when case decided by CIT(A) & pending before tribunal. No, issues subject to appeal – 263 not applicable |
| 72. | SAMSUNG INDIA ELECTRONICS P LTD | 2014 | DEL | "Write petition" - assessee objecting to reassessment notice u/s 148 - can he directly approach to HC in normal course contending reassessment proceedings to be unjustified & illegal- first he should file return to AO, AO does not provide opportunity then can make and appeal to HC. |
| 73. | LARK CHEMICALS LTD. (M16) ICICI BANK LTD. (M16) | 2014 2012 | BOM BOM | Asst. Order u/s 143(3) - re-asst. U/s 147 - revision u/s 263 - for deduction u/s 36(1)(vii)/(viia)- revision time limit from order u/s 143(3) and not from 147 |
| 74. | NEW MANGALORE PORT TRUST | 2016 | KAR | Original assessment order u/s 143(3) was modified to give effect to revision order u/s 264 - can it be subject to revision u/s 263?? No it cannot |
| 75. | EARNEST EXPORTS LTD | 2010 | BOM | ITAT does not have the power to review/re- appreciate its earlier order u/s 254(2) |
| 76. | LACHMAN DASS BHATIA HINGWALA P LTD | 2011 | DEL | If there is a mistake apparent from record, ITAT can exercise power of rectification u/s 254(2) to recall its order in entirety. |
| 77. | MEGHALAYA STEELS LTD. | 2015 | SC | Hc's inherent power to review earlier order passed |
| 78. | SUBRATA ROY | 2016 | SC | ITAT order - advocate attended & gave submission - HC order is not ex-parte order - can HC recall order- no HC cannot |
| 79. | AMITABH BACHCHAN | 2016 | SC | Expense towards security not claimed in original return - revised return - AO asked for |

| | | | | evidence - |
|-----|----------------------------|------|------|--|
| | | | | no evidence since paid in cash - AO wanted but |
| | | | | did not taxed the assessee u/s 69C - |
| | | | | CIT revised u/s 263 - valid notice/revision |
| | | | | since AO was ignorant |
| 80. | MUTHOOT FINANCIERS | 2015 | DEL | Penalty u/s 271d for accepting/taking |
| | (M17) | | | deposits/loans received from partners – |
| 0.1 | | 2012 | 1445 | reasonable cause for not levying penalty. |
| 81. | V. SIVAKUMAR | 2013 | MAD | No penalty is attracted on loan advanced by |
| | | | | partnership firm to its partner's sole- proprietorship concern of specified unit is |
| | | | | exceeded - no violation of sec – 269SS |
| 82. | TRIUMPH | 2012 | BOM | As per sec-273B, no penalty u/s 271E can be |
| 02. | INTERNATIONAL | 2012 | DOM | imposed on assessee where he repays a loan |
| | FINANCE (I.) LTD | | | merely by-passing adjustment entries in its |
| | | | | books |
| 83. | BHAVECHA MACHINERY | 2010 | MP | Failure to furnish return in time - delay not |
| | & OTHERS | | | wilful - is prosecution proceedings u/s 276CC |
| | | | | attracted- no |
| 84. | DR. MANOJ KABRA | 2014 | ALL | Ao has no jurisdiction to suo-moto declare sale |
| | | | | of property as void u/s 281 AO required to |
| 0.5 | | 2014 | TZAD | file a suit. |
| 85. | HINDUSTAN LEVER LTD. | 2014 | KAR | Winning in kind - unable to ensure whether |
| | | | | TDS deducted or not - winnings released- 201 |
| 86. | AJMER VIDYUT VITRAN | 2013 | AAR | proceeding cannot be initiated. Transmission and wheeling charges: TDS u/s |
| 00. | NIGAM LTD | 2013 | | 194J |
| | NIGHM DID | | | SLDC (state load dispatch centre (sldc) |
| | | | | charges): no TDS u/s 194C/J |
| 87. | AHMEDABAD STAMP | 2012 | SC | Stamps purchased in bulk quantity (at |
| | VENDORS ASSOCIATION | | | discount) - sells at normal price - this is |
| | | | | discount (not comm./brokerage) |
| 88. | BHARATI CELLULAR | 2013 | CAL. | Supply of sim cards/prepaid card (recharge |
| | LTD. | | | coupon) to franchisee - deduct TDS (indirect |
| 0.0 | | 2011 | 2014 | commission in form of discount) |
| 89. | QATAR AIRWAYS | 2011 | BOM | Difference b/w published price & minimum |
| | | | | fixed price - additional special commission of |
| | | | | agents?? Tds attracted? - no TDS as impracticable & |
| | | | | unreasonable to get feedback of each tickets |
| | | | | sold. |
| 90. | INDUS TOWERS LTD | 2014 | DEL | Payment for use of passive infrastructure |
| | | | | facility such as mobile towers TDS u/s |
| | | | | (194C/194I) ? - dominant intention is use of |
| | | | | equipment or p & machinery so TDS u/s 194-I |
| 91. | SENIOR MANAGER, SBI | 2012 | ALL | Threshold limit of rs180000 u/s 194-I has to |
| | | | | be seen separately for each co-owner |

| 92. | SHREE MAHALAXMI TRANSPORT CO. | 2011 | GUJ | Assessee (engaged in transportation of building material & to contractors for hiring dumpers) - tds u/s (194C/194-I)?? - assessee contracted for transportation of goods & not taken machinery & equipment on rent – tds u/s 194-C |
|-----|--|------|-----|---|
| 93. | MANIPAL HEALTH SYSTEMS (P) LTD. | 2015 | KAR | Doctors come for fixed time - payment/remuneration made on patient basis - is a contract for service tds u/s 194J |
| 94. | WIZCRAFT INTERNATIONAL ENTERTAINMENT PVT LTD | 2014 | ВОМ | No tds u/s 195 for payment made to overseas agent, who did not perform any service in india |
| 95. | PRIYA BLUE INDUSTRIES PVT LTD | 2016 | GUJ | Finished products (scrap & waste) from ship- breaking activities – assessee sold it & purchaser started using it as it is - is this scrap & charged to TCS – no its not scrap |
| 96. | BHAGAT CONSTRUCTION CO. PVT LTD | 2016 | SC | Levy of interest u/s 234B is automatic even when order does not contain any specific direction for payment of interest - itns 150 - provision of sec 234B are attracted the moment assessee fail to pay advance tax or paid less than 90% of the assessed tax |
| 97. | PALAM GAS SERVICE | 2017 | SC | Sec. 40(a)(ia)-tds- applicable on paid/payable both. |
| 98. | RAGHUVIR SYNTHETICS LTD. | 2017 | SC | Assessee claims expenditure on advertisement & public issue as revenue expenditure - adjustment u/s 143(1)(a) and disallowed-different judgement exists for the same issue - apply the hc judgment of the concerned state - ao can change u/s 143(1) |

DO THE BEST 😉

GOD WILL DO THE REST @

---CA BB